Defendant,

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

- I, Maria Radwick, hereby declare as follows:
- I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before this Court. I am an associate at Kerr & Wagstaffe LLP, counsel for Defendant County of San Mateo (the "County") in this action. During the course of my representation of the County I have obtained personal knowledge of the following matters and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. A true and correct copy of the transcript of the Board of Supervisors hearing held on March 25, 2014 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 3. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Frederick-Arn Hansson, taken on January 11, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 4. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Debbie Gisonni on behalf of the Stillheart Institute, taken on December 5, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 5. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Stillpath Retreat Center LLC ("Stillpath"), taken on December 6, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 6. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Raymond Blatt, taken on December 9, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 7. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Don Horsley, taken on February 26, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
- 8. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Dave Pine, taken on January 20, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
- 9. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Carole Groom, taken on February 19, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit H.
- 10. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Adrienne Tissier, taken on February 11, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit I.
- 11. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Warren Slocum, taken on January 21, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit J.
 - 12. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Gail Slocum, taken on

February 9, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit K.

2

taken on January 11, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit L.

45

14.

15.

taken on January 19, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit M.

6

7 April 20, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit N.

8

9

16. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Dr. Brigitte Lank, taken on December 30, 2016, is attached hereto as Exhibit O.

A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Christopher Ranken,

A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of Michael Schaller,

A true and correct copy of excerpts from the Deposition of J.R. Rodine, taken on

10

17. A true and correct copy of Stillpath's Response to County of San Mateo's Interrogatories, Set One with corresponding verification is attached hereto as Exhibit P.

12

13

11

18. A true and correct copy of Stillpath's Further Response to County of San Mateo's Interrogatories, Set One is attached hereto as Exhibit Q.

14

19. A true and correct copy of the email dated July 12, 2013 from Debbie Gisonni to Ray Blatt, produced by Stillpath to the County in discovery and marked as Exhibit 139 at the Deposition of J.R. Rodine, is attached hereto as Exhibit R.

16

17

15

20. A true and correct copy of the email dated August 26, 2013 from J.R. Rodine to Ray Blatt, produced by Stillpath to the County in discovery and marked as Exhibit 140 at the Deposition of J.R. Rodine, is attached hereto as Exhibit S.

18 19

21. A true and correct copy of the email dated January 3, 2014 from J.R. Rodine to Ray Blatt, produced by Stillpath to the County in discovery and marked as Exhibit 147 at the Deposition of J.R. Rodine, is attached hereto as Exhibit T.

2122

23

20

22. A true and correct copy of the email dated July 8, 2015 from Ray Blatt to Michael Cartwright, produced by Stillpath to the County in discovery and marked as Exhibit 162 at the

2425

Deposition of Ray Blatt, is attached hereto as Exhibit U.

2627

23. A true and correct copy of the Purchase Agreement for the Property, produced by Stillpath to the County in this litigation and marked as Exhibit 180 at the 30(b)(6) Deposition of

28

Stillpath, is attached hereto as Exhibit V.

- 24. A true and correct copy of the Stillpath Utilization Table for Clinical and Professional Staff, produced by the County in this litigation and marked as Exhibit 192 at the Deposition of Ray Blatt, is attached hereto as is attached hereto as Exhibit W.
- 25. A true and correct copy of a letter from Ray Blatt to Mike Schaller dated April 21, 2013, produced by Stillpath to the County in discovery and marked as Exhibit 210 at the Deposition of Ray Blatt, is attached hereto as Exhibit X.
- 26. A true and correct copy of Stillpath's presentation to the Board of Supervisors, produced by Stillpath to the County in discovery and marked as Exhibit 235 at the Deposition of Ray Blatt, is attached hereto as Exhibit Y.
- 27. Christopher Ranken was deposed by counsel for Stillpath on January 11, 2016. I attended the deposition. During his examination of Mr. Ranken, counsel for Stillpath used documents that, on information and belief, Mr. Ranken had produced to them in advance of the deposition, including documents that contained redactions. Mr. Ranken did not provide the County's counsel a copy of the documents he had provided to Stillpath in response to the subpoena either before or after the deposition. At the time of the deposition, Counsel for the County requested a copy of the documents that Mr. Ranken had provided to Stillpath, and objected to questions based on the use of the documents that had not been produced to the County.
- 28. After counsel for Stillpath finished deposing Mr. Ranken, counsel for the County began asking questions of the witness. However, the County's counsel was unable to finish his questions because the deposition had to be adjourned by a certain time and continued on a different date in order to take the pre-arranged deposition of another witness scheduled for the same day.
- 29. On January 13, 2016, James Wagstaffe, a partner at Kerr & Wagstaffe LLP and counsel for the County in this case received a call from Mr. Ranken. I was in Mr. Wagstaffe's office at the time of the call and he introduced me as being present. Mr. Wagstaffe told Mr.

3

unredacted form.

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Ranken indicated that he will await a call from our office. 30. On March 7, 2016, our office received from Stillpath's counsel a copy of documents that had been produced to Stillpath's counsel by Christopher Ranken in response to a subpoena. These documents do not contain the unredacted versions of the documents used at Mr. Ranken's deposition in redacted form. Upon information and belief, the redacted documents had been provided by Mr. Ranken to counsel for Stillpath in unredacted form, and it was counsel

Ranken that our office will be arranging with him a continued date for his deposition and that we

likely would be formally asking him to bring further documents to the renewed session. Mr.

31. A true and correct copy of letters received by the County from Avalon J. Fitzgerald, counsel for Stillpath in this matter, dated March 2, 2016 and March 4, 2016 are attached hereto (without any enclosures) as Exhibit Z.

for Stillpath who made the redactions to the documents after reviewing the documents in their

- 32. On November 15, 2016, I called Mr. Ranken and spoke with him. I identified myself as counsel for the County in this litigation and told him that I was calling to discuss the scheduling of his continued deposition and to find out if he has any unavailability during the period December 1-16, 2016. I also told Mr. Ranken that previously he informed us he was not represented by an attorney in this matter and I asked if that was still the case. Mr. Ranken said that he thought he was represented, but needed to check because he "kind of lost track." I then asked Mr. Ranken to have his attorney call me back, if he has one, to schedule the deposition.
- 33. After I did not hear back from Mr. Ranken, I called him again (at the same number I had called before) but no one answered.
- 34. On December 12, 2016, I sent Mr. Ranken an email asking him to get back to me (through his attorney if he has one) to discuss the date of his continued deposition and to let me know if he would accept service of a deposition subpoena. I did not receive a response to this email from Mr. Ranken or any attorney purporting to represent him. A true and correct copy of my December 12, 2016 email to Mr. Ranken is attached hereto as Exhibit AA.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	35.	A true and correct copy of the Declaration of Due Diligence executed by the
proces	s servei	rs who made attempts to serve Christopher Ranken with a deposition subpoena on
 multip	le days	and at multiple locations is attached hereto as Exhibit BB.

36. A true and correct copy of excerpts from the transcript of the January 22, 2014 Planning Commission hearing is attached hereto as Exhibit CC.

I declare under penalty of perjury and the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 27th day of January, 2017, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Maria Radwick
MARIA RADWICK